

!

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,562	07/16/1999	DUNCAN AKPORIAYE	35/101053	6349
75	90 07/19/2002			
WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET NW SUITE 800			SOUBRA, IMAD	
WASHINGTON	DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	13
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annlinedian Na	Annilia and A			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/308,562	AKPORIAYE ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Imad Soubra	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-35</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
D. ☐ Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/308,562

Art Unit: 1744

Page 7

The main emphasis of the aurguments is mainly on the issue of Figures 9-10 where the applicant stated that this embodiment is closer to the claimed invention than is the first and/or second embodiment of Carter... because the openings 72 and 73 remain in fluid communication with one another via orifices 77, 78 and channel 81, this third embodiment cannot reasonably be said to disclose openings that are closed or sealed at both their ends. Accordingly, the "block", "closure means", and "locking device" as recited in claim 18 is not taught or suggested by the third embodiment of Carter. The way that the claims are phrased does not mention if the openings are in fluid communication with each other, but the plurality openings are sealed. In Figure 9 of the Carter reference, the openings show that there are sealed by the upper member (82) on the block (68) and the lower member (82) appears to be "a closure member to seal said plural openings" which is connected by a locking device (86). These openings are being sealed when these elements are being put together in which it is interpreted that the sealing of the device is closed in a pressure tight manner, so therefore the claims do read on the Carter referece. The rejection is still sustained.

> ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Colunt Y. Warden, In.